

From: f
To: [Rosen, Jeff](#); [Rosen, Jeff](#); [Boyarsky, Jay](#); [Boyarsky, Jay](#); [Angel, David](#); [Angel, David](#); [Capps, Stacey](#); [Capps, Stacey](#); [Gibbons-Shapiro, James](#); [Harman, Terry](#); [Tsui, Scott](#); [Welch, Brian](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Alaleh Kianerci suborning perjury? Fully Informed Jurors?
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2019 8:58:07 PM

Hello Santa Clara County DA Top Staff:

Some of you are familiar with me. I am writing in an indirect response to the recent publicity/book tour by Chanel Miller, the alleged victim in the Brock Turner case.

Her publicity tour spurred me to go back and review the case, and I found something I thought should be addressed.

As some of you must know, Kianerci filed rape charges against Turner, even though the original arresting officers had only filed attempted rape, and she had no new information in the intervening time. The rape charges were of course eventually dropped, there was no basis for them in the evidence.

Obviously, your office, and most of you, probably all of you, as individuals, do not care about models of ethics for prosecutors which state a prosecutor shall not bring or maintain a charge without evidence, else Kianerci would not have done that, but I am wondering, do you think all citizens called to serve on juries feel the same? Because right know, I am considering carrying a big sign which says Kianerci approved, in fact probably requested, a perjured statement by Sheriff's Detective Mike Kim which was used to support the false charges.

Specifically, Kim stated "I am informed and believed" Turner had intercourse with Milller - but of course he was not informed of any such thing, there was no one to tell him that at all.

I believe I have already told some of you I strongly suspect Kianerci, or Michele Dauber after Kianerci got her involved, told Gina Kermode to blow up Sean Christopher Ryan's statutory rape plea bargain by coming to the same courtroom Persky was in before the recall, and claiming Ryan getting "only" one year in prison would leave her severely traumatized, or some such tale of woe - Gina Kermode lied to Ryan, to claim she was 18, and, when she revealed she was underage, he

immediately halted the sexual activity -and it was after he stopped that she went to the cops and told them, falsely, that he had sex with her knowing she was under 18. Ryan proved she lied with electronic messages between them but your office, with Alaleh Kianerci assigned to the case, chose to prosecute him anyway.

I should mention here, I did not see her when she was 15, but at one of the hearing after the blown up plea bargain, I did see Kermode, she was about 6'2" and overweight - if she looked like that a few years before, it would be easy to believe she was 18 or over. And I should say too, she is kind of a pathological liar in my view - a histrionic spoiled mentally unstable super rich girl who feels, backed up by her super rich parents, she gets to blame other people for her bad decisions.

I actually think the Gina Kermode case is a good one for the jurors to hear about as well - shows the values of your office, which are not good in my view. Super rich girls are always right as best I can tell.

Chanel Miller by the way, born into a \$4.3M house in Palo Alto, already owned by the family trust, was recently on TV saying the Brock Turner case taught her "money opens jail doors". Maybe Gina Kermode, who grew up in probably a \$6M house in Los Alto HILLS - maybe a ten minute walk from Persky - agrees with her, after all, after your staff went ducking for cover and waited out the threat of bad publicity, and getting a new judge who took his or her oath of office more seriously, apparently, Ryan got the original one year sentence plea after all - must have been the vast unknown wealth of the truck driver's son from Hayward that caused that result.

I do have a point here - you get fanatics like Michele Dauber and possibly unidentified disciples like Kianerci spreading lies to the public, and eventually YOU people will look bad. Kianerci's false rape charge is a good example - Persky let her bring and maintain that charge for a few months - after all, he did not expect it to hurt HIM that Turner was falsely identified as a rapist in the media - but then it turned out, the angry idiots recalled him for sentencing Turner to only six months for rape - after all, they knew it was a rape, they saw that word on TV, and Turner did get convicted - I do not know if it would have saved him, but chopping down the "rape" meme early on, so no one heard the phrase

"Stanford RAPE case" might have cooled the outrage down enough to defeat the recall - don't get me wrong, Persky got a terribly bad rap - largely due to your boss Jeff Rosen - yes, I know he SAID he opposed the recall - but did you see that pitiful fence straddling speech he gave the day before the election, "Yes, the sentence was terrible, but I fixed the system by changing the law, so there should be no recall" - GOOD GRIEF - Imagine getting on a plane, and the announcement is made, "Ladies and Gentleman, I understand you are concerned because your pilot Aaron Persky crashed a plane by doing something boneheaded stupid, but don't worry, I have made this plane idiot proof, he can not screw up this one, so relax and have a good flight" - if you think I am exaggerating, go watch Jeff's speech on YouTube - good god, with rhetorical skills like that, unless of course he deliberately made that lame argument to please Michele Dauber - with rhetorical skills like that, how did he get into being a trial lawyer at all? I mean that seriously. I could have done more for the pro Persky vote by jumping up and punching Persky in the nose - at least a few of the ignorant haters would have stayed home, figuring as long as Persky was suffering they had better things to do than vote.

What COULD he have done? He could have honestly told the public there was no rape, there were no pine needles shoved into Miller's vagina, by Turner or anyone else, and her story about having blood on her hands, if true at all, had nothing to do with Turner, it was due to medical treatment for alcohol intoxication. And that Persky's sentencing of Raul Ramirez to 3 years, pursuant to a plea bargain where your office had an iron clad case, did not indicate Persky was biased against Latinos. And that Persky always followed probation recommendations, so his treatment of Turner was no different than his treatment of other similarly situated defendants - and that Turner was not rich, and had no influence in Santa Clara county, he was a "poor" boy from out of town.

Instead of telling any of those truths, Rosen played footsie with Dauber to save his own ass - something just came to my mind - Churchill, on hearing Neville Chamberlain had made the deal with Hitler to let Hitler take over Czechoslovakia, said Chamberlain had been given a choice between war and dishonor, and had chosen, but would get both.

Dauber is not going to leave Rosen alone, unless he completely prostrates himself -and all indications are he will do The Chamberlain whenever Dauber calls it - but it will never be enough. I fully realize Rosen got thrown into this, but in the long run, what one can be proud of is meeting evil head on and at least calling it out - Rosen failed, he chose dishonor, and now the entire legal system will have to live with the consequences. If they saw things clearly, the judges and other prosecutors would despise him.

Anyway, IF anyone has a problem with me telling the jury pool members Kianerci probably ASKED the police detective to perjure himself, and you folks, the higher ups, probably condoned it, and therefore no prosecutors in your office can really be trusted, they only have to follow the rules when the defendant is popular - please let me know. I see Friday is not a day jurors are called, that gives you some time to think about it.