FILED

1 MARK M. HATHAWAY, ESQ. (CA 151332; DC 437335; NY 2431682) 2 JENNA E. EYRICH, ESQ. (CA 303560) Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles WERKSMAN JACKSON 3 HATHAWAY & QUINN LLP MAR 24 2017 888 West Sixth Street, Fourth Floor 4 Los Angeles, California 90017 Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk Telephone: (213) 688-0460 5 Facsimile: (213) 624-1942 E-Mail: mhathaway@werksmanjackson.com 6 7 Attorney for Petitioner John Doe 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CENTRAL DISTRICT 11 12 JOHN DOE, an individual Case No.: BS147275 13 Petitioner, [Hon. Mary H. Strobel] 14 PETITIONER'S OPENING BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF 15 OCCIDENTAL COLLEGE **MANDAMUS** 16 Respondents Date: May 23, 2017 Time: 9:30 a.m. 17 Place: Department 82 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

TOWNER SE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INT	INTRODUCTION		
II.	STA	ATEMENT OF FACTS1		
	A.	JANI	E ROE INITIATES SEXUAL CONTACT WITH JOHN DOE	1
	B.		IDENTAL PROFESSORS AND JANE ROE'S FRIENDS PERSUADE HER REPORT SEXUAL ASSAULT	3
	C.		UDICATOR MARILOU MIRKOVICH FINDS PETITIONER PONSIBLE FOR POLICY VIOLATIONS	5
III.	ARG	GUME	NT	6
	A.	OCC	IDENTAL'S TITLE IX PROCEEDINGS WERE UNFAIR	6
		1.	"Fair Hearing" Is Reviewed De Novo.	6
		2.	Occidental's Proceedings Typified by Lack of Impartiality	7
		3.	Adjudicator Purposefully Omitted Questions Critical to Establishing John Doe's Defense	9
		4.	Occidental Denied John Doe Reasonable Access to Evidence	11
		5.	Cumulative Impact of Unfairness	11
	B.	FIND	DINGS OF FACT UNSUPPORTED BY EVIDENCE.	12
		1.	Independent Judgment, a Trial De Novo, Required Where Administrative Process Affects a Vested Fundamental Right	12
		2.	Substantial Evidence Test.	12
		3.	Adjudicator's Findings Supported by Neither the Weight of the Evidence, Nor Substantial Evidence	12
		4.	Roe's Statements in October 2013 Are More Reliable Than Her December 2013 Hearing Testimony.	13
		5.	Facts Do Not Establish Roe's Incapacitation	14
		6.	No Outward Signs of Incapacitation.	15
IV.	COì	NCLUS	SION	15

08/28/2017 08/28/2017

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Statutes Code Civ. Proc. § 1094.5
Shuffer v. Board of Trustees (1977) 67 Cal.App.3d 208
Rosenblit v. Superior Court (1991) 231 Cal. App. 3d 1434
Pomona Valley Hospital Medical Center v. Superior Court (1997) 55 Cal.App.4th 93 6
Nightlife Partners v. City of Beverly Hills (2003) 108 Cal.App.4th 81
Nasha LLC v. City of Los Angeles (2004) 125 Cal. App. 4th 470
Miller v. Eisenhower Medical Center (1980) 27 Cal.3d 614
John A. v. San Bernardino City Unified School Dist. (1982) 33 Cal.3d 301
Hackethal v. California Medical Assn., 138 Cal.App.3d 435
Greenhill v. Bailey (8th Cir. 1975) 519 F.2d 5
Goss v. Lopez (1975) 419 U.S. 565
Gonzales v. McEuen (1977) 435 F. Supp. 460
Goldberg v. Regents of University of Cal. (1967) 248 Cal.App.2d 8677
Fahlen v. Sutter Central Valley Hospitals (2014) 58 Cal.4th 655
Doe v. University of Southern California (2016) 246 Cal. App. 4th 221
Doe v. Regents of University of California (2016) 5 Cal.App.5th 1055
Clark v. City of Hermosa Beach (1996) 48 Cal.App.4th 1152
Brown v. Univ. of Kansas (D.Kan. 2014) 16 F.Supp.3d 1275
Board of Curators of University of Missouri v. Horowitz (1978) 435 U.S. 78
Bixby v. Pierno (1971) 4 Cal.3d 130
Applebaum v. Boara of Directors (1980) 104 Cal. App. 3d 648

I. INTRODUCTION.

This case arose amid a highly-publicized movement at Occidental College (hereafter "Occidental" or "College") to stem a purported tide of sexual violence on campus. On April 18, 2013, Professor Danielle Dirks, in association with 37 students, faculty, and alumni at Occidental, filed a federal complaint with the Office of Civil Rights ("OCR")¹ to pressure the College to take action against male students accused of sexually assaulting female students. Some students also sued Occidental, claiming the College ignored sexual violence and tolerated a hostile environment for female "survivors" of sexual assault. (*Id.*) In September 2013, Occidental settled with at least ten of these complainants,² who all received monetary settlements from Occidental. (*Id.*)

Against this backdrop, John Doe was an 18-year-old freshman when Jane Roe, a freshman one month shy of her 18th birthday, went to John Doe's dorm room one evening, arranged to return to have sex with him, left his room, then returned and engaged in a sexual activity for about an hour, left to go back to her own room, and then went to watch TV in another dorm lounge. A week later, Jane Roe reported John Doe for sexual assault, claiming she had been incapacitated from alcohol and could not "specifically recall having sexual intercourse with Mr. [Doe]." Occidental eventually expelled John Doe for violating Occidental's Sexual Misconduct Policy (hereinafter the "Policy".)

As set forth below, Occidental denied John Doe a fair hearing, and Occidental's findings are not supported by the evidence.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS.

A. JANE ROE INITIATES SEXUAL CONTACT WITH JOHN DOE.

On September 7, 2013 around 7:00 p.m., following his sports team's initiation, John Doe was intoxicated in his dorm room in Braun Hall. (AR 124.) By 11:00 p.m., W3 recalled that Doe was "slurring his words, stumbling over the others when he got up, dancing around and generally moving in a way that indicated that he was inebriated." (AR 124.)

Elsewhere in and around Braun Hall, unbeknownst to John Doe, Jane Roe was also consuming

¹ Tyle Kingkade, Occidental College Sexual Assault Response Subject of Federal Complaints, (January 23, 2014) The Huffington Post.

² Jason Felch, Jason Song, *Occidental College Settles in Sexual Assault Cases* (September 18, 2013) Los Angeles Times.

alcohol with W2, W6, and other friends. (See AR 119-123.) At some point after 11:00 p.m., Jane Roe returned to her dorm room on the third floor of Braun Hall; feeling "wired with energy," she went downstairs to the second floor in search of people. (AR 125.) Roe voluntarily entered Doe's room; she was not stumbling or falling from what W7 recalled. (*Id.*)

According to her statements to Occidental's private investigators three weeks after the incident, Jane Roe's recollection shows that she was conscious and aware of her surroundings that night. Roe recalled that she was dancing with Doe. (AR 126) While dancing, W6 recounted, "Jane Roe was kind of riding on top of John Doe. Her hips were moving." (AR 129.) Roe became hot while she was dancing and removed her shirt, but W2 "'flip[ped] out' and told her she needed to put her shirt back on." (*Id.*) Roe recalled that Doe "told her to let [W2 and W6] take Jane Roe upstairs to her room and then she should return to his room alone," and, "John Doe told her to come back down 'so he can fuck me." (AR 126-127.)

Jane Roe also remembered going back to her dorm room and messaging John Doe. (AR 129.) Between 12:31 and 12:41 a.m., Roe sent Doe five text messages, including, "Okay do you have a condom" and "Good give me two minutes." (AR 131; AR 209; AR 224-225.) Roe also recalled texting her friend in Tennessee, "I'mgoingtohave sex now. [sic]" (AR 130.)

Roe remembered feeling dizzy and sick while walking from the third floor to the second floor of Braun Hall, and recalled vomiting into a trash can. (AR 132.) Doe's roommate helped Jane Roe while she was sick, but believed she had the capacity to understand where she was and to walk back upstairs to her room without his assistance. (AR 133.) Instead she went to Doe's room, and Roe reported she had "a series of 'non linear recollections' about what happened. (*Id.*) For instance, Roe remembered Doe telling her to get on the bed (AR 134), and she recalled asking Doe if he had a condom because she was not using birth control. (*Id.*) Jane Roe told PII several times that she "had sex" with John Doe, but later stated that she only specifically recalled performing oral sex on him and did not remember having sexual intercourse. (*Id.*) In contrast, John Doe did not remember that she performed oral sex on him. (AR 492:11.) Roe remembered Doe telling her that his roommate had entered the room, which according to Doe and W7, occurred while Doe and Roe were having sexual intercourse. (AR 133-134, 136.)
Witness W3 stated that he went to John Doe's room to check on Jane Roe. (AR 135.) While Doe was

in the bathroom, W3 knocked on his door and asked Roe three times if she was okay, and each time she responded that she was fine. (*Id.*) Roe remembered putting her shorts on before walking back upstairs to her dorm room unassisted. (AR 134, 136.) However, as Roe recalled, she "didn't feel like going to sleep," so she found her phone and key card, put on her shoes, walked down the stairs and across the grassy area between her dorm and Stewart-Cleland Hall unaided and alone to socialize with friends in the common room of Stewart-Cleland Hall, (AR 137), where Roe specifically recalled making fun of NASCAR. (AR 137-138.)

B. OCCIDENTAL PROFESSORS AND JANE ROE'S FRIENDS PERSUADE HER TO REPORT SEXUAL ASSAULT.

In the morning on September 8, 2013, Roe initially communicated with W2, "I think I had sex with John Doe last night." (AR 564:10-13.) Later that evening, W3 informed Roe, "[Y]ou and John Doe might have had sex." (AR 589:22.) On Monday, September 9, 2013, Doe's roommate noted that Roe voluntarily sat next to Doe in the class they shared. (AR 193.) In the days after Roe and Doe had sex, Roe spoke with many people about the incident, including John Doe, W4, W3, W7, W2, W20³, W6, her parents, staff at the Emmons Health Center, "Survivor Advocate" Nadia Palacios, and Professor Movindri Reddy, Ph.D., a member of the Occidental Sexual Assault Coalition. (AR 140, 153.) When Roe spoke to Professor Dirks on September 9, Dirks used the phrase "rape" to describe the incident, but Roe corrected her by saying, "Oh, I am not calling it rape yet." (AR 166.) Roe was hesitant to define the incident as "rape" but noted that her roommate, W4, "realized very quickly that what happened was legally considered rape," and her "Survivor Advocate" encouraged her to get a rape kit. (AR 153, 166.) Roe stated that W4 "pushed her to realize that she had been sexually assaulted." (AR 154.)

At this time, Roe was meeting with Professor Movindra Reddy every day to talk about the incident and frequently speaking with Professor Dirks. (AR 154, 167-168.) Roe stated to the PII investigator that upon reflection about the evening, "She described herself as 'a hopeless romantic' who wanted the loss of her virginity to be a more special event." (AR 150) Professor Dirks commented that Roe's reluctance to call the incident "rape" was "was consistent with other victims of sexual assault

³ W20 is Dr. Danielle Dirks, sociology professor, leader of the Occidental Sexual Assault Coalition, and author of *Confronting Campus Rape: Legal Landscapes, New Media, and Networked Activism.*

whom [Dirks] ha[d] talked to on campus." (AR 167.) Professor Dirks also told Roe that "there was a pattern at the College of male students who repeatedly engaged in the practice of having sex with highly intoxicated women." (AR 166.) Professor Dirks theorized that Roe was "in a strong state of denial' about the events" and was suffering from Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder. (AR 167.) Jane Roe stated that she filed the complaint partially as a result of these statements by Dirks. (AR 155.)

On or about September 15, 2013, Jane Roe reported John Doe to Occidental's Title IX Office that she believed her level of intoxication caused her to be incapacitated and therefore unable to consent to sex with John Doe on September 8, 2013. (AR 115, 153.) Jane Roe did not claim that she was forced, intimidated, physically harmed, or resisted sex in any way. (*Id.*)

On October 1, 2013, Occidental hired Public Interest Investigators, Inc. ("PII.) (AR 115.) PII investigators Keith Rohman and Cathleen Watkins interviewed Jane Roe, eight freshman students and Dirks, as requested by Roe and Dirks. (AR 117; AR 439: 23-24.)

During the PII investigation, on October 31, 2013, Los Angeles Deputy District Attorney Alison Meyers declined to pursue criminal charges against John Doe based on lack of evidence:

Witnesses were interviewed and agreed that the victim and suspect were both drunk, however, that they were both willing participants exercising bad judgment. I interviewed the victim regarding the facts of the case. I explained to her the definition of PC 261 (a)(3) [rape of an intoxicated person] and the lack of evidence as to certain elements. Specifically the facts show the victim was capable of resisting based on her actions. More problematic is the inability to prove the suspect knew or reasonably should have known that she was prevented from resisting if she was in that state. It would be reasonable for him to conclude based on their communications and her actions that, even though she was intoxicated, she could still exercise reasonable judgment. That charge is therefore declined based on insufficient evidence. (AR 96-97, emphasis added.)

John Doe forwarded Meyers' Charge Evaluation Worksheet to investigator Watkins to be included as evidence in the investigation, and she included it as Exhibit 1 to her report. (AR 94-95; AR 117.) Notably, Exhibit 1 was redacted entirely from Watkins' report and Occidental did not allow this evidence in John Doe's hearing. (AR 199-203; AR 658 fn 4.) Once the criminal case had been rejected, John Doe agreed to be interviewed by PII as soon as he could obtain an Occidental-approved advisor. (AR 117.) However, on November 14, 2013, PII finalized its report without interviewing John Doe. (AR 117; AR 439:21-22.)

C. ADJUDICATOR MARILOU MIRKOVICH FINDS PETITIONER RESPONSIBLE FOR POLICY VIOLATIONS.

John Doe's hearing was held before private attorney Marilou Mirkovich on December 7, 2013. (AR 654.) The adjudicator's role was to "render a decision of responsibility for violation(s) to the Sexual Misconduct Policy." (AR 314.) Present at the hearing were Cherie Scricca, Ed.D. (hearing coordinator), Jane Roe, Professor Movindri Reddy, Ph.D. (Jane Roe's advisor), Amy Munoz (John Doe's advisor), Cathleen Watkins (lead investigator), and John Doe. (AR 655.) Investigator Watkins, Jane Roe, John Doe, and W7, W2, W3, W4, and W5 testified at the hearing. (*Id.*) Two days later, on December 9, 2013, Marilou Mirkovich issued her "External Adjudicator's Decision (hereafter "Decision") with her opinion that:

1.) that sexual intercourse occurred between the Respondent and the Complaint, 2.) that although the Complainant engaged in conduct and made statements that demonstrated consent to sexual intercourse with Respondent, she was incapacitated at that time; and 3.) that the Respondent should have known that the Complainant was incapacitated at that time. (AR000665-AR000666)

Accordingly, Marilou Mirkovich found Petitioner responsible for sexual assault and non-consensual sexual contact under the College's Policy. On December 20, 2013, Doe was sanctioned with "Permanent Separation from the College." (AR 683.) Doe appealed the sanction on January 6, 2014 (AR 977-995), and on January 22, 2014, Andrew G. Celli, Jr. of the law firm Emery Celli Brinckerhoff & Abady LLP submitted a response to Doe's appeal on behalf of Roe. (AR 1275-1278.) On February 12, 2014, Maria Hinton, J.D., Occidental's Assistant Director for Housing Services, concluded that Doe's grounds for appeal, "fail[ed] to demonstrate that there was a procedural or substantive error that significantly affected the outcome of the case," and Doe was ordered permanently expelled from Occidental. (AR 1315.)

The following day, John Doe filed his writ petition seeking court review of Occidental's Title IX administrative action. 4

⁴ February 19, 2014 Hon. James C. Chalfant granted Doe's stay application, which has allowed Doe to continue his education elsewhere. On September 15, 2015, Petitioner filed a civil complaint against Occidental as LASC case no. BC594472. On September 18, 2015, Hon. Robert H. O'Brien declined to relate the two cases under LASC Rule 3.3(f). On October 16, 2015, Occidental removed the civil case to federal court, where the matter is stayed pending resolution of this writ matter. (*Doe v. Occidental*

III. ARGUMENT

A. OCCIDENTAL'S TITLE IX PROCEEDINGS WERE UNFAIR.

1. "Fair Hearing" Is Reviewed De Novo.

Code Civ. Proc. § 1094.5 authorizes a trial court to issue a writ of administrative mandate where an agency has deprived a petitioner of a fair hearing. (Code Civ. Proc., § 1094.5, subd. (b); Clark v. City of Hermosa Beach (1996) 48 Cal. App.4th 1152; Doe v. University of Southern California (2016) 246 Cal. App.4th 221, 239 [hereinafter "Doe USC"].) In determining whether an agency provided a petitioner with a fair hearing, a reviewing court independently evaluates whether "the administrative proceedings were conducted in a manner consistent with the minimal requisites of fair procedure demanded by established common law principles." (Rosenblit v. Superior Court (1991) 231 Cal. App.3d 1434, 1442 [hereinafter "Rosenblit"], noting John A. v. San Bernardino City Unified School Dist. (1982) 33 Cal.3d 301, Miller v. Eisenhower Medical Center (1980) 27 Cal.3d 614, and Anton v. San Antonio Community Hosp. (1977) 19 Cal.3d 802, superseded on other grounds by statute as stated in Fahlen v. Sutter Central Valley Hospitals (2014) 58 Cal.4th 655.) That is, the petitioner is entitled to an independent judicial determination of the fair hearing issue. (Pomona Valley Hospital Medical Center v. Superior Court (1997) 55 Cal. App.4th 93, 101.)

Students facing lengthy suspension or expulsion have interests qualifying for protection of the Due Process Clause. (Goss v. Lopez (1975) 419 U.S. 565, 577 [for suspensions of less than ten days from high school, students are not entitled "to confront and cross-examine witnesses"].) The severity of the deprivation is one of several factors that must be weighed in deciding the exact due process owed the student. (Board of Curators of University of Missouri v. Horowitz (1978) 435 U.S. 78, 86.) In this case, expulsion from the College could not be more severe, resulting in the loss of an "an interest of almost incalculable value, especially to those students who have already enrolled in the institution and begun the pursuit of their college training." (Goldberg v. Regents of University of Cal. (1967) 248 Cal.App.2d 867, 876 [hereinafter "Goldberg"].) Due Process requires, inter alia, "proper advance notice of the hearing specifying the particular charges and suggesting that [the student] might wish to obtain

College, USDC case no. CV 15-08160 DDP (AFMx).

4.102/38/2014 2.102/38/2014 counsel." (*Doe USC*, *supra*, 246 Cal.App.4th at 246, quoting *Goldberg*, *supra*,, 248 Cal.App.2d at 872; see also *Brown v. Univ. of Kansas* (D.Kan. 2014) 16 F.Supp.3d 1275, 1289-1290, aff'd, (10th Cir. 2015) 599 F. App'x 833 ["An essential principle of due process is that a deprivation of life, liberty or property 'be preceded by notice and opportunity for hearing appropriate to the nature of the case."].)

2. Occidental's Proceedings Typified by Lack of Impartiality.

Occidental's Policy requires the College "to provide for fair and equitable procedures for determining when [its] policy has been violated." (AR 2.) Under California law, "The right to a fair procedure includes the right to impartial adjudicators. (*Applebaum v. Board of Directors* (1980) 104 Cal.App.3d 648, 658.) Moreover, "'[f]airness requires a practical method of testing impartiality.' (*Hackethal v. California Medical Assn.* (1982) 138 Cal.App.3d 435, 444.)" (*Rosenblit, supra*, 231 Cal.App.3d at p. 1448.) Correspondingly, "[A]n actual bias [on the part of adjudicators] need not be proven; only an unacceptable *probability* of actual bias." (See *Nasha LLC v. City of Los Angeles* (2004) 125 Cal.App.4th 470, 483, emphasis added; see also *Nightlife Partners v. City of Beverly Hills* (2003) 108 Cal.App.4th 81, 90 ["due process in an administrative hearing also demands an *appearance* of fairness and the absence of even a *probability* of outside influence on the adjudication."], italics in original.)

During the Fall of 2013, Professors Reddy and Dirks were embroiled in a highly-publicized political movement to pressure Occidental and other institutions to hold male students responsible for alleged sexual violence. For the 2013-2014 school year, Occidental reported 60 forcible sexual offenses, becoming the U.S. college with the highest rate of sexual misconduct at 2.8%, which is 4.7 times higher than the rate of the second-highest California school that year (Claremont McKenna College at 0.6% with 8 offenses total), 20 times the rate of Stanford University (0.14% with 26 cases total), and 35 times the rate at UCLA (0.08% with 33 cases total.) ⁵ Occidental's sexual assault rate during the 2013 -2014 school year was a statistical outlier at five times higher than the rate of sexual offenses that occurred at the College the prior year and the following year. (*Id.*) It was amid this climate, piqued by harsh media criticism of Occidental's perceived ineptitude in handling Title IX proceedings, that Marilou Mirkovich was expected to make impartial determinations about John Doe

⁵ Source: https://ope.ed.gov/campussafety/#/institution/details

allegedly sexually assaulting a female student. These highly anomalous circumstances do not support the appearance of fairness or the absence of a probability of outside influence on the administrative action.⁶

Occidental's proceedings against Doe were infected by prevalent bias⁷, as most poignantly demonstrated by Danielle Dirks' blatantly gender-biased statements, including that "John Doe fit the profile of other rapists on campus in that he had a high GPA in high school, was his class valedictorian, was on the [sports] team, and was 'from a good family." (AR 155.) Additionally, Occidental's Title IX Coordinator Lauren Carella told Doe not to prepare an opening statement in advance of the hearing. (AR 656 fn 3.) When, at the hearing, Doe was informed that he could make an opening statement, the hearing was paused briefly so Doe could prepare his thoughts—without the assistance of his attorney, who was not allowed to attend the hearing. (*Id.*) Conversely, on the day of the hearing, Roe read from typed, lengthy, detailed opening and closing statements, which included a personal biography highlighting high school memories and defaming Doe as a "rapist." (AR 463:12-368:20.)

Moreover, John Doe struggled to obtain an Occidental-approved campus advisor for the Hearing, and after many rejections (see AR 42, 48, 60, 62, 63, 67, 68, 70, 72, 74, 76, 78, 80, 82, 84, 89), secured Amy Munoz, Associate Vice President for Hospitality Services. (AR 655, 952.) Ms. Munoz had no experience assisting with sexual assault matters, in contrast to Professor Reddy, and provided no meaningful advice to Doe. Ms. Munoz was not allowed to speak during the Hearing, and did she not encourage Doe to address inconsistent witness testimony or to pose follow-up questions. The denial of a Hearing advisor of his choice, forced John Doe, an emotionally distraught 18-year-old freshman, to defend himself against charges prosecuted by Ph.D. faculty members. The Hearing was Doe's only opportunity to highlight Roe's inconsistent statements and impeach her credibility, and Occidental's denial of an effective advisor of his choice prejudiced Petitioner's defense of Occidental's charges

⁶ Occidental's concern about adverse publicity is shown in the College's unsuccessful attempt to have the case records sealed by this court, which was also opposed by the Los Angeles Times.

⁷ Occidental's Title IX personnel, advisors, outside contractors, adjudicators, and consultants lacked gender diversity. If female students were required to engage with an all-male entourage to address sexual assault by a male perpetrator, it would be reasonable to criticize such an arrangement as non-supportive to female victims, as potentially reflecting gender bias, and to pose a barrier to the process of female victims reporting sexual assault.

 against him.

That Ms. Mirkovich's findings were influenced by bias is shown in her Decision, where she selectively highlighted only the evidence that tended to support the predetermined decision to hold John Doe responsible for sexual assault, and discounted and ignored all countervailing evidence. (See Exhibit B.) Also notable is Ms. Mirkovich's double standard in acknowledging that Doe "was more intoxicated than he had ever been" on September 7, that he did not recall the oral sex from Jane Roe, and that he was so impaired that he "did not have actual knowledge of the Complainant's incapacitation;" yet she did not hold Jane Roe responsible for engaging in non-consensual sexual activity with Doe while he was incapacitated. (AR 663; AR 665.)

Also significant is Ms. Mirkovich's decision to ignore the LAPD investigation, based on her statement that "the elements and standard of proof in a criminal investigation differ from the elements and standard of proof in the Policy." (AR 658 fn 4.) After interviewing Jane Roe, Deputy District Attorney Alison Meyers concluded that her statements did not generate a reasonable suspicion of sexual intercourse with a person who was "prevented from resisting" due to intoxication which was "known or reasonably should have been known by the accused." (AR 58-59; see also Pen. Code, § 261, subd. (a)(3).) The reasonable suspicion standard for John Doe to be held to answer in the criminal case was lower than the preponderance of the evidence standard to be applied by Ms. Mirkovich to evaluate Jane Roe's allegations. The fact that Ms. Mirkovich came to the opposite conclusion from DDA Meyers, under the higher preponderance of evidence standard, shows that Ms. Mirkovich did not weigh the evidence fairly.

3. <u>Adjudicator Purposefully Omitted Questions Critical to Establishing</u> <u>John Doe's Defense.</u>

As King Solomon recognized three thousand years ago, "In a lawsuit the first to speak seems right, until someone comes forward and cross-examines." (Proverbs 18:17 (NIV).) In California student disciplinary matters that turn on credibility, the College must provide for the questioning of the complainant, either directly or indirectly, by the accused student. (See, *Doe v. Regents of University of California* (2016) 5 Cal.App.5th 1055, 1084 [hereinafter "*Doe UCSD*"].) In addition, students are to have "ample opportunity to hear and observe the witnesses against them." (*Doe USC*, *supra* 246

with the severe sanction of expulsion, Due Process does not permit admission of *ex parte* hearsay evidence given by witnesses not under oath and not subject to examination by the accused student. (*Gonzales v. McEuen* (1977) 435 F. Supp. 460, 467.) In *Gonzales*, the district court rejected the use of hearsay statements in a school discipline proceeding on the grounds "that the accused student [was] deprived of his constitutional right to confront and cross-examine his accuser." (*Id.*, 435 F.Supp. at p. 469.)

Cal.App.4th at p. 246, citing Goldberg, supra, 248 Cal.App.2d at p. 882.) Where the student is faced

Although John Doe was assured "a fair and ample opportunity" to present his account of the incident, Occidental's promise was not fulfilled. (AR 430:11-12.) Adjudicator Marilou Mirkovich asked Jane Roe, in some form, only 15 of the 38 questions John Doe submitted for Jane Roe. (See Exhibit C.) In her Decision, Ms. Mirkovich wrote that she asked "each parties' written questions to the other party and each witness, unless those questions had already been asked and responded to, related to the Los Angeles Police Department investigation, or were not relevant to the subject matter of this hearing." (AR 656.) However, her blanket statement does not sufficiently explain why Ms. Mirkovich ignored many of the most relevant questions that challenged Roe on her prior inconsistent statements to PII investigators.

At the hearing, Roe presented conflicting descriptions of her state of mind (compare AR 642:7-8 ["basic words would come up that would trigger *graphic memories* of the night] with AR 643:8-9 ["I cannot remember having sexual intercourse with John Doe. I *wasn't consciously there*"], (emphasis added), and the only detail she was unequivocally able to recall at the hearing was one that would make Doe appear sober and accountable (AR 467:23-25 ["I was drunk to the point of being unconscious...When I told John Doe he consciously gave me chewing gum"].) Yet, during the investigative interview conducted within three weeks of having sex with John Doe, she indicated that she remembered many details of the evening. (See Exhibit A.) John Doe's questions to Jane Roe, and other witnesses, were essential to showing the inconsistencies between Roe's hearing testimony and her

⁸ Ms. Mirkovich misrepresented to Doe that all of his questions had already been asked. (AR 482:6-15.) Because John Doe was denied the advisor of his choice, an attorney, he did not understand that he could challenge the misrepresentation or inquire why so many important questions were not asked. John Doe, an 18-year-old college freshmen, humbly acquiesced to Ms. Mirkovich's apparent authority.

earlier statements to investigators, thereby exposing major discrepancies in her testimony. Ms. Mirkovich ignored John Doe's questions and did not address Jane Roe's inconsistent and selective memory in her Decision at all. (AR 656.) An impartial, fact-seeking adjudicator would have confronted Jane Roe on the significant changes in her testimony, which tend impeach her credibility and her claim of incapacitation.

4. Occidental Denied John Doe Reasonable Access to Evidence.

A fair process required the College to present the evidence to the accused student to allow for a reasonable opportunity to prepare a defense and to respond to the accusation: "... requiring John to request access to the evidence against him does not comply with the requirements of a fair hearing. (See, e.g., *Goss*, 419 U.S. at p. 582.)" (*Doe USC*, *supra*, 246 Cal.App.4th at pp. 245-246.)

On December 1, 2013, six days before the Hearing, Cherie Scricca told Doe that the 180-page investigation report and Exhibits 1-8 could be viewed on the online portal OneHub. (AR 333.) The OneHub portal only allowed for the documents to be read on screen, but not to be saved, forwarded, downloaded, printed, or marked up. (AR 337; see also AR 338-339.) Occidental told Doe that a hardcopy was available for review in the Title IX office, but he could not have a copy. (AR 336.) The documents also contained unexplained redactions to entire sections. (See AR 26-28, 154-155, 177, 200-203.)

Doe and his attorney repeatedly objected to this "cumbersome and unworkable" presentation of the evidence. (AR 337, 340, 343, 352, 359, 361, 362, 363, 378.) Cherie Scricca generally directed Doe to Occidental's Policy for answers, stating, "The policy answers your questions and concerns including those regarding redaction of materials," (AR 337) and Occidental's counsel reasoned "[T]he College does not, under its policies, provide unredacted versions of the investigative report to the parties or to the hearing panel/adjudicator." (AR 396.) Occidental's denial of reasonable access to the evidence prevented John Doe from being able to prepare a more thorough defense to Occidental's charges against him.

5. <u>Cumulative Impact of Unfairness</u>

The "cumulative impact" of the legal, political, and media pressure on Occidental to address the

purported wave of sexual violence on campus, the denial of reasonable access to evidence, the denial of an advisor of his choice, the lack of an impartial adjudicator, the refusal to ask John Doe's questions raise a "notable stench of unfairness" that permeates Occidental's administrative hearing against Petitioner. (*Rosenblit*, *supra*, 231 Cal.App.3d at p. 1445.)

B. FINDINGS OF FACT UNSUPPORTED BY EVIDENCE.

1. <u>Independent Judgment, a Trial De Novo, Required Where</u> Administrative Process Affects a Vested Fundamental Right.

California courts have undertaken to protect vested fundamental rights "from untoward intrusions by the massive apparatus of government." (*Bixby v. Pierno* (1971) 4 Cal.3d 130, 142-143.)

Here, the "massive apparatus" is the intrusion and pressure imposed on the College by the U.S. Dept. of Educations, Office of Civil Rights, and since Occidental's administrative action substantially affects Petitioner's vested, fundamental right to continue his education, "the trial court not only examines the administrative record for errors of law but also exercises its independent judgment based upon the evidence disclosed in a limited trial de novo." (*Id.* at p. 143.) The Court must exercise its independent judgment and find an abuse of discretion if the findings are not supported by the weight of the evidence; in effect, a trial de novo. (Code Civ. Proc. § 1094.5, subd. (c); *Shuffer v. Board of Trustees* (1977) 67 Cal.App.3d 208, 219, citing *Greenhill v. Bailey* (8th Cir. 1975) 519 F.2d 5, 7.)

2. Substantial Evidence Test.

Even where the court is not required to reweigh the evidence,

... this does not mean we must blindly seize any evidence in support of the respondent in order to affirm the judgment. ... '[I]f the word "substantial" [is to mean] anything at all, it clearly implies that such evidence must be of ponderable legal significance.

Doe v. University of Southern California, 246 Cal.App.4th at 248-249.

3. <u>Adjudicator's Findings Supported by Neither the Weight of the Evidence, Nor Substantial Evidence.</u>

⁹ A court must determine on a case-by-case basis whether an administrative decision affects a vested fundamental right. (*Bixby v. Pierno*, *supra*, 4 Cal.3d at p. 144.) "A right is deemed fundamental on either or both of two bases: (1) the character and quality of its economic aspect; or (2) the character and quality of its human aspect." (*JKH Enters., Inc. v. Dep't of Indus. Rels.* (2006) 142 Cal.App.4th 1046, 1059.) John Doe possessed a vested contractual right to continue to attend Occidental uninterrupted, free from the reputational harm and stigmatization that inherently accompanies sexual assault allegations and determination of responsibility.

Occidental's charges against Petitioner may be supported only if Jane Roe was incapacitated at the time she returned to his room and engaged in sexual activity. Under the Policy, "Incapacitation is a state where an individual cannot make an informed and rational decision to engage in sexual activity because she lacks conscious knowledge of the nature of the act (e.g. to understand the who, what, when, why or how of the sexual interaction) and/or is physically helpless." (AR 8.) Although he does not remember it occurring, Petitioner does not dispute that sexual intercourse occurred between Roe and Doe, nor Ms. Mirkovich's findings that Roe demonstrated conduct and made statements that indicated that she consented to sexual intercourse. (AR 659-660.) Doe disputes Ms. Mirkovich's opinion that Roe was incapacitated at the time she was demonstrating consent, and that Doe should have known that Roe was incapacitated at the time she was demonstrating consent to sexual activity. (AR 661-665.)

4. Roe's Statements in October 2013 Are More Reliable Than Her December 2013 Hearing Testimony.

During her investigation interview on October 9, 2013, Roe relayed personal thoughts and feelings and recalled events that occurred when she was alone, indicating that the memories were derived from her own independent knowledge. For instance, Roe recalled being "wired with energy" when she was back in her room. (AR 147.) She "recalled *feeling* hot" before she took off her shirt and that she "thought she had a bandeau on." (AR 148, emphasis added.) Roe noted that while she was making out with Doe on the bed, she and Doe "talked about what type of music to listen to," which none of the other witnesses, other than Doe, would have known about. (*Id.*) Roe independently recalled that she and Doe created a ruse to come back down to Doe's room, and that Doe told her "to come back down 'so he can fuck me." (*Id.*) Only Roe could have known that she was "freaking out" because she didn't want her R.A. to see her, or that "she thought to herself, 'W6. Oh crap, what are you doing here." (AR 149.) Roe recalled internally "feeling excited that she had succeeded in sneaking past the bathroom." (*Id.*) "[Roe] remembered asking John Doe if he had a condom as she had not used any birth control." (AR 150.) Roe also recalled "three voices asking her if she was ok" and later speculated that

¹⁰ "An assessment of whether "Respondent knew or should have known, that the Complainant was incapacitated" is also required. (AR 8.)

15

27

28

they may have been W3, W16, and W15, although in reality there was only a single voice (W3) asking Roe three times if she was okay. (Id.) Jane Roe also recalled John Doe saying that his roommate just entered the room, which occurred while they were having sex. To emphasize, she remembered the invasion of their privacy, but claimed no memory of her first sexual intercourse that was occurring at the very same moment. (Id.) Given the independent knowledge she conveyed to investigators, including information about events that occurred as she was having sex with John Doe, two conclusions can be drawn: 1) Jane Roe's memory in October 2013 is more accurate than her memory at the hearing in December 2013; and 2) Jane Roe's assertion that she "wasn't consciously there" while having sex with John Doe is not reliable.

5. Facts Do Not Establish Roe's Incapacitation.

The heart of the problem with Marilou Mirkovich's contentions is her finding that Jane Roe "did not have the capacity to appreciate the nature and quality of the act." (AR 958.) This finding cannot be squared with undisputed contrary evidence that although Roe may have been intoxicated, she was certainly not incapacitated. While in Doe's room, Roe took off her shirt, a culturally recognized indication of her intent to escalate a sexual encounter; yet Ms. Mirkovich unreasonably redefined this act, not to show Roe's capacity and intent, but rather to justify her incapacitation. (AR 664.) Jane Roe texted John Doe from her dorm room to ask him if he had a condom in preparation for sexual intercourse, and assured him she would return to his dorm room to have sex. (AR 131, 209, 224-225.) She also texted her friend in Tennessee that she intended to have sex that night. (AR 130.) She then left her dorm room, snuck past W6, and navigated her way unassisted down her hallway and down a flight of stairs back to John Doe's room. (AR 131-133.) The text messages Roe sent to John Doe over a 24minute period indicate that she was aware that she was going to engage in sexual activity with Doe and had the capacity to coordinate sneaking out of her room to return to the same room she had been in earlier. Roe's text message to her friend also reveals that she wanted to share the news that she was about to engage in sexual activity. Further, her question to Doe about whether he had a condom indicates that she was lucid enough to be concerned about the possibility of pregnancy or contracting a sexually transmitted disease. These facts demonstrate that Jane Roe had conscious knowledge that she was going to engage in sexual intercourse (i.e., "what"), with John Doe (i.e., "who"), in John Doe's

room (i.e., "where"), that night (i.e., "when"), undoubtedly because they had been flirting with each other in Doe's room earlier that evening (i.e., "why").

No Outward Signs of Incapacitation.

Roe was intoxicated, but had the capacity to dance with Doe, flirted with him, sent him text messages asking whether he had a condom, and voluntarily navigated to his dorm room to have sex. These were not the confused acts of an incapacitated young woman, but indications of a woman who was consciously pursuing sexual activity with Doe. Along with the text message evidence, it was reasonable for Doe to assume that Roe was a willing sexual partner with the capacity not only to affirmatively consent, but also that she could *initiate* sexual intercourse. Although adjudicator Mirkovich "recognizes that the fact that Complainant successfully navigated herself, under her own power to the Respondent's room, indicates both that, at the time, she had an awareness of where she was and that her motor skills were sufficiently intact to enable her to walk unassisted," Ms. Mirkovich ultimately reached the unreasonable conclusion that the witness testimony of Jane Roe's friends was somehow more compelling than Jane Roe's own perceptions and outward signs that she was not incapacitated. (AR 663-666.) Ms. Mirkovich's opinion is not supported by the greater weight of the reliable, credible evidence, and no reasonable trier of fact could have found that Doe reasonably should have known that Roe was incapacitated and unaware of sexual activity based on the entire record.

IV. CONCLUSION.

For the foregoing reasons, Petitioner respectfully requests this Court to set aside the findings and sanctions imposed by Respondents.

25

26

27 28 DATED: March 24, 2017

HATHAWAY & QUINN LLP

WERKSMAN JACKSON

Mark M. Hathaway

Jenna E. Eyrich

Attorneys for Petitioner

PROOF OF SERVICE

	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)		
) ss. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)		
	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES ;		
	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 888 West Sixth Street, Suite 400, Los Angeles, California 90017.		
	On March 24, 2017, I served the foregoing document described PETITIONER'S OPENING BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF		
	PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS on all interested parties listed below by transmitting to all interested parties a true copy thereof as follows:		
	Jonathan M. Brenner		
	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000		
	Los Angeles, California 90013		
	Telephone: (213) 896-6000 Facsimile: (213) 896-6600		
	E-mail: jbrenner@sidley.com		
	ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT OCCIDENTAL COLLEGE		
	BY FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION from FAX number (213) 624-1942 to the fax number set forth above. The facsimile		
	machine I used complied with Rule 2003(3) and no error was reported by the machine. Pursuant to Rule 2005(i), I caused the		
ı	machine to print a transmission record of the transmission, a copy of which is attached to this declaration.		
ı	BY MAIL by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as set forth above. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be		
١	deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California in the		
	ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one (1) day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.		
Ι.	BY PERSONAL SERVICE by delivering a copy of the document(s) by hand to the addressee or I cause such envelope		
ı	o be delivered by process server.		
	BY EXPRESS SERVICE by depositing in a box or other facility regularly maintained by the express service carrier or		
ļŗ	felivering to an authorized courier or driver authorized by the express service carrier to receive documents, in an envelope or backage designated by the express service carrier with delivery fees paid or provided for, addressed to the person on whom it is to be served.		
	BY ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION by transmitting a PDF version of the document(s) by electronic mail to the party(s)		
	identified on the service list using the e-mail address(es) indicated.		
	☑ I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.		
	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the above is true and correct.		
ŀ	Executed on March 24, 2017 in Los Angeles, California YESENIA N. ALVARADO		
	DETITIONED'S OPENING BRIEF		

海山田田小田田

Exhibit A

Statements made by Jane Doe during	Statements made by Jane Roe during
Investigation Interview on October 9, 2013	Hearing on December 7, 2013
"She said she heard the sound of music from	Q: "Do you recall Mr. W7 saying to you that
one of the rooms and ran into W7, who is in	Mr. John Doe was having a dance party?"
her African Revolutions class. She asked W7	A: "I don't know if those were the exact
where the music was coming from, and he	words but he said something about I think
said his roommate was having a dance party.	he said something about dancing." (AR 476:
Jane Doe noted that she has always loved	2-6.)
dancing, particularly when she is drunk."	
(AR 147.)	
Jane Doe said that when she entered the room,	Not discussed during Hearing.
she found the lights off, and three women in	
the room with John Doe. Jane Doe said she	
did not know the women's names. According	
to Jane Doe when John Doe saw her, he called	
out to her excitedly, "Jane!" (AR 147.)	
"Jane Doe said that the three women left John	Not discussed during Hearing.
Doe's room 'very quickly' after she arrived.	
She stated the women were W7's friends.	
(AR 148.)	
She remembered dancing with John Doe and	Not discussed during Hearing.
that W2 and W6 were sitting on John Doe's	
bed." (AR 148.)	
"According to Jane Doe W6 took out a bottle	Not discussed during Hearing.
of vodka, which she drank from, and then she	
danced more. She said she was drinking	
straight from the bottle, and remembered	
alcohol spilling on her. She stated that she	
knew she was drinking Smirnoff and it should	
have burned her throat going down, but it	
didn't because she was so intoxicated at the	
time." (AR 148.)	
"As Jane Doe continued to dance with John	"I mean, I remember thinking that I thought I
Doe, she recalled feeling hot and took off her	had a bandeaux on, you know, like the little
shirt. She stated that she thought she had a	bralet things." (AR 471: 13-15, 17.)
bandeau on over my bra, but this was not the	
case." (AR 148.)	
"Jane Doe stated that when she took off her	"That was only something I remembered a
shirt, 'W2 flip[ped] out and [said], 'You need	couple weeks after. I was in the room with
to get your shirt on." (AR 148.)	W2 and she was helping me to piece together
	the night, and she had mentioned something
	about how she was upset that I had taken off
	my shirt. And from what I remember, she
	just kept saying like, 'Jane Doe put your shirt
	back on, put your shirt back on,' and was just

Statements made by Jane Doe during	Statements made by Jane Roe during
Investigation Interview on October 9, 2013	Hearing on December 7, 2013
	getting very, I don't know, anxious about it." (AR 473:19 – 474:1.)
	Q: "Now, did you remember that, or was that what told you?" A: "It was I eventually remembered it, but I hadn't remember it until I get flashbacks sometimes. If someone says a word or does
	something" (AR 474: 2-7.)
"At another point, Jane Doe stated, John Doe pushed her on to the bed and 'we ma[d]e out for a while.' She said that the two also talked about what type of music to listen to." (AR 148.)	Not discussed during Hearing.
While Jane Doe and John Doe were on the bed 'making out,' Jane Doe recalled, John Doe told her to get rid of W2 and W6. He told her to let them take her up to her room and then come back to his room. (AR 148.)	Q: "Do you recall that when you were on on the bed with Mr. John Doe he had communicated to you to get rid of Ms. W2 and Mr. W6?" A: "I barely remember it." Q: "What do you recall?"
	A: "I I it's one of those really faint things where I think he said that I needed to I think he said I needed to convince them to take me upstairs and then come back down." Q: "Okay." A: "I'm not sure." (AR 47416 – 475:2.)
"Jane Doe said John Doe also told her to give him her number, so he could text her to come back down." (AR 148.)	Q: "Do you recall giving your cell phone number to Mr. John Doe" A: (No audible response.) Q: "No?" A: "No." (AR 479: 4-8.)
"She stated that John Doe told her to come back down 'so he can fuck me." (AR 148.)	Q: "Now, in your interview with Ms. Watkins, I believe that you said that Mr. John Doe said to you while you were while you were in his room with Ms. W2 and Mr. W6, to come back down so he could fuck you. Now, do you actually remember him saying that?" A: "I'm not sure anymore, honestly. I'm really not sure." Q: "Okay. Now, do you think that's because time has passed and you don't remember it, or are you"

Statements made by Jane Doe during Investigation Interview on October 9, 2013	Statements made by Jane Roe during Hearing on December 7, 2013
	A: "I think after reading the report and reviewing all of the statements, I question so much of my memory that (unintelligible) said that I read that I did that I don't remember. And there are things that people said happened that completely conflict with what I think happened." (AR 475: 3-18.)
"Jane Doe stated that after W2 and W6 put her to bed, she lay there for about five minutes. She recalled sending a text to best	"I don't remember sending any of the texts that I sent that night. The only way that I knew
friend in Tennessee, stating, 'I'mgoingtohavesexnow,' without any spaces between the words." (AR 149.)	about them was the next morning when I woke up and specifically W12's texts were the first that I saw because I woke up and had, I think, three or four missed calls from her and text messages." (AR 478: 4-9.)
"Meanwhile, Jane Doe stated that John Doe was texting her asking where she was." (AR 149.)	"I don't remember any of the texts from that night." (AR 479:25 – 480:1.)
"She stated that John Doe was still texting her, and she texted back that her RA and W6 were there. Jane Doe stated that John Doe told her to say she had to go to the bathroom." (AR 149.)	
"Jane Doe said she looked out the peek hole in the door and saw W6's head. She said she could also see her neighbor, W19 and was one of the R.A.s. Jane Doe said she was very concerned about this. She said, 'I am freaking out because I am really drunk and I don't want the RA to see me." (AR 149.)	Q: "Do you recall trying to sneak out around or avoid Mr. W6 and the RA?" A: "The only memory that I I have two memories from that point. One is looking through the little peephole in our door and I remember looking through and seeing W6's spikey hair"
Jane Doe stated that when she saw W6's head through her peep hole, she thought to herself, 'W6. Oh crap, what are you doing here?' Jane	Q: "Okay." A: " through the through the viewing hole.
Doe expressed this as if her internal thought was upset and frustrated. However, she stated that when she opened the door, she was more congenial with him and said, 'Whoa, W6 what are you doing-here' in a friendly way. Jane Doe said she did not remember what W6 said in responseJane Doe stated that she told W6	And then I remember just seeing myself in the mirror before I went down the stairs, which is right by the bath room. There's a mirror." (AR 479: 10-20.)

Statements made by Jane Doe during	Statements made by Jane Roe during
Investigation Interview on October 9, 2013	Hearing on December 7, 2013
she had to go to the bathroom. She said she walked down the hall to the bathroom, but did not enter it. She said she walked past the bathroom and down the stairs. She stated she remembered feeling excited that she had succeeded in sneaking past the bathroom." (AR 149.)	
"However, as she walked down the stairs, Jane Doe said she did not feel well. She stated she was holding onto the rail as she walked down, and was feeling 'really dizzy' and 'really sick.' She also felt very nauseous, and, after she got to the second floor, she threw up in a trash can. She said someone came up behind her as she vomited and held her hair. She stated she was later told this was W7, who also took her into the men's bathroom where she finished throwing up." (AR 149.)	Q: "Do you recall throwing up, vomiting on the way back down to Mr. John Doe's room?" A: "I remember being over a trash can." Q: "I'm sorry?" A: "I remember being over the trash can, yeah." Q: "Did you recall that the next morning, or is that something that came back to you after?" A: "That was something that W7 told me." Q: "So you" A: "I've remember it after." Q: "Okay." A: "Yeah, after. Or was it W3 who told me
"Jane Doe stated she then walked to John Doe room, and she believed that this was when John Doe pulled her into the room. She stated, 'I think I told him I threw up and I think he gave me a piece of gum." (AR 149.)	that W7" (AR 480: 2-14.) Q: "Do you recall when you went into after you had vomited and you went into Mr. John Doe's room, did you communicate to him that you had vomited?" A: "Yeah. I said that I threw up, and he (inaudible)." Q: "Do you recall that you told him that you had vomited." A: "Yeah." (AR 480: 15-22.)
"She remembered asking John Doe if he had a condom as she had not used any birth control." (AR 150.)	Q: "Do you recall having any communication with Mr. John Doe that evening about various forms of birth control?" A: (No audible response.) Q: "No? Okay." (AR 480:24 – 481:3.)
"She stated she remembered having sex with John Doe. When questioned about this, however, she said she could not specifically recall having intercourse with him. She stated she did recall performing oral sex on him." (AR 150.)	Q: "Do you have any recollection of being on top of Mr. John Doe?" A: "No. I don't remember any of the intercourse." Q: "Okay. Do you recall having oral sex with him?" A: "I have one like one minute like, I'm

Statements made by Jane Doe during	Statements made by Jane Roe during
Investigation Interview on October 9, 2013	Hearing on December 7, 2013
"She remembered John Doe leaving the room at one point and returning very quickly."	sorry, a second of (unintelligible) I only we were sitting in class, I think the Wednesday after. We talked probably, and John Doe said something in class, and I just went back to (inaudible). It's the first time that I remembered it." (AR 476: 25 – 477: 9.) Not discussed during Hearing.
(AR 150.)	
"She also remembered hearing a knock at the door, and the sound of three voices asking her if she was ok. She believed that one of the voices may have been W3 and another was a woman named W16 (In a follow-up conversation with Lauren Carella, Jane Doe said that the third voice was W15. Carella forward this information to PII.)" (AR 150.) "Jane Doe stated she did not recall how many times she and John Doe had sex that night. She stated she remembered that she did not move very much." (AR 150.)	Q: "As we sit here today, do you recall anyone asking how you were doing that night? Saying, 'Are you okay?' Anything like that?" A: "I know that W3 asked me. I I can't remember it." (AR 481: 4-8.) Not discussed during Hearing.
She recalled getting her shorts back on, but not her belt, and said that her belt and earrings were in John Doe's room the next day." (AR 150.)	Not discussed during Hearing.
"She stated she remembered John Doe telling her to get on the bed, but did not recall any other conversation with him during this period." (AR 150.)	Q: "Do you recall anything that was said between you and Mr. John Doe after you went down the second time to his room? A: (No audible response.) Q: "No?" A: "I don't remember any conversations." (AR 481:23 – 482:3.)



Exhibit B

Evidence Cited by Marilou Mirkovich in	Countervailing Testimony from the
Her December 9, 2013 Decision	Investigation Report and December 7, 2013
The beening 7, 2015 beession	Hearing
"Because of that concern, Ms. W2 and Mr.	W2 and W6 "mutually decided" to stay with
W6 decided to stay behind to take care of the	Jane Roe to make sure she was "honestly
Complainant because of her level of	safe" and to "keep her company." However,
intoxication." (AR 662.)	they were not so concerned about Jane Roe's
mickidation. (FIR 602.)	alcohol consumption that they thought that
	she might vomit or have alcohol poisoning.
	They also did not want to go to Mt. Fiji
	"badly" and "didn't really see any harm in
	just staying." (AR 544:7-12.)
"After returning to her room, the Complainant	According to W7, when Jane Roe walked into
went to the second floor of Braun Hall and	his dorm room, "she wasn't stumbling or
encountered [W7]. [W7] stated that the	falling over herself." (AR 511:8.) W7 also
Complainant appeared drunk and was leaning	had a conversation with Roe about the music
up against the wall for support. W7 then	playing in his dorm room. (AR 125.)
observed the Complainant walk into the	playing in his dorin room. (Fix 125.)
dormitory room he shared with the	
Respondent." (AR 662.)	
"Ms. W2 was concerned that the Complainant	When asked if she had concerns that Jane Roe
did not know what she was doing; therefore,	"didn't know what she was doing," W2
Ms. W2 began attempting to remove the	responded: "A little bit, yeah. She seemed I
Complainant from the Respondent's room.	mean, like, I think that she knew, like, the
(AR 662.)	general idea of, like, where she was, you
	know, who she was with, like what was going
	on. But like I said before, I don't think that,
	you know, she had, like, a a like like a
	next goal in mind. She was just kind of, like,
	going along with whatever like with
	whatever happened." (AR 560:12-18)
	According to W2, she was trying to remove
	Jane Roe from the room: "Mostly because,
	like, the only person that I, like, really knew
	was Jane Roe And just because she wasn't
	like, I wanted to get her to a safe place and it
	didn't exactly seem like it was, like, the best
	place for her to be because they kept on
	kissing and but I wasn't sure how she would
	feel about that, so I kept trying to take her out
	of the room." (AR 550:5-11)
	W2 did not want to leave Jane Roe in John
	Doe's room, "Because she was our friend and
	we didn't want her to do something that

Evidence Cited by Marilou Mirkovich in Her December 9, 2013 Decision	Countervailing Testimony from the Investigation Report and December 7, 2013 Hearing
"Ms. W2 encountered some resistance in her efforts to remove the Complainant from the Respondent's room. As a result, when the Respondent left his room, Ms. W2 and Mr. W6 removed the Complainant from the Respondent's room, and they escorted the Complainant to her dormitory room." (AR 662.)	Hearing like, you know, she would regret or that she wasn't happy with." (AR 554:11-15) According to W2, while she was trying to get Jane Roe to leave John Doe's room, Jane Roe voiced objection and told W2 that she wanted to stay: "I think that she said that she wanted to stay, but it wasn't, like, a very, like, definitive, like, 'No. I want to stay.' It was just like 'No,' like, 'Let's stay,' you know. It wasn't like she was really, like, actively, like, fighting me trying to take her out of the room. (AR 552:4-8)
"Ms. W2 stated that, although she and Mr. W6 did not carry the Complainant to her room, the Complainant was walking like an intoxicated person; thus, to escort the Complainant to her room, Ms. W2 and Mr. W6 each linked arms with the Complainant and supported her when they were returning the Complainant to her room." (AR 662-663.) "After the Complainant left her room to return to the Complainant's room, she vomited in the hallway of the second floor of Braun Hall. W7 discovered the Complainant vomiting and assisted her by holding back her hair and directing her to the bathroom. The Complainant then returned to the	W2 stated: "I think that she walked as an intoxicated person would, but she, like, still made it up to her room with it's not like W6 and I had to, like, carry her. It might have, you know, been like linking arms with her or something like that a little bit to, like, help her walk a little bit, but it's not like she was totally incapable of holding herself upright. (AR000557:8-17.) W7 testified that after Jane Roe vomited, "I asked her if she was fine. And then she said yes. And then she went back to the room." (AR 515:13-15)
Respondent's room." (AR 663.) "As stated above, neither the Complainant nor the Respondent has a recollection of any verbal communication when the Complainant returned to the Respondent's room." (AR 663.)	Although Jane Roe told adjudicator Mirkovich, "I don't remember anything being said I think that entire time" (AR 478:23-479:2), she also recalled "I was drunk to the point of being unconscious. I vomited, and a fellow student witnessed that. When I told John Doe he consciously gave me chewing gum." (AR 467:23-25.) During the investigation, Jane Roe recalled having the following conversations with John Doe while in his dorm room:

Evidence Cited by Marilou Mirkovich in Her December 9, 2013 Decision	Countervailing Testimony from the Investigation Report and December 7, 2013 Hearing
	"Jane Roe stated she then walked to John Doe room She stated, 'I think I told him I threw up and I think he gave me a piece of gum." (AR 149.)
	"She remembered asking John Doe if he had a condom as she had not used any birth control." (AR 150.)
"The Complainant subsequently recalled giving the Respondent oral sex; however, the Respondent does not recall this act. The Complainant states, and the external adjudicator believes, she has no recollection of having sexual intercourse with the Respondent." (AR 663.)	In the investigation report, investigator Watkins recalled: "She stated she remembered having sex with John Doe. When questioned about this, however, she said she could not specifically recall having intercourse with him. She stated she did recall performing oral sex on him Jane Roe stated she did not recall how many times she and John Doe had sex that night. She stated she remembered that she did not move very much." (AR 150.)
	According to investigator Watkins' hearing testimony: "We in the I was present with another investigator at the time and so in the drafting of that the way this is drafted, it was discussed subsequently with that other investigator just to be sure on what our recollections were. And the joint recollection of both of us and our notes was that she had said yes, she had sex with him, and then but then when we said well, what do you remember about that or tell us about that or probed for details, then it was like, 'Well, I can't really remember actually having intercourse." (AR 639:4-14.)
	During the hearing, Jane Roe referenced having "graphic memories of the night." (AR 642:7-8.)
	Regarding Roe's recollection of oral sex, Ms. Watkins stated during the hearing, "My recollection particularly I remember asking her I remember her saying that they had

Evidence Cited by Marilou Mirkovich in Her December 9, 2013 Decision	Countervailing Testimony from the Investigation Report and December 7, 2013 Hearing
	oral sex. And I remember specifically asking her was that you performing it with him or him performing it on you, and she had a very straight answer. 'No. It was me giving him oral sex.' So there were and that was in the sort of sequence of events. So that struck me as strictly her recollection." (AR 637:13-20.)
"After the sexual intercourse, when the Complainant left the Respondent's room, she encountered Ms. W2 who escorted the Complainant to her room. At this time, the Complainant did not mention to Ms. W2 that she had sexual intercourse with the Respondent." (AR 663.)	W2 recounted the following: Q: "Did she communicate to you that she had come from Mr. John Doe's room?" A: "No." Q: "Did you ask her where she had been?" A: "Yes. I asked her and she simply said that she had been on the second floor." Q: "Did you ask her, 'Were you in Mr. John Doe's room?'" A: "No, I didn't ask her." (AR 563:12-20.)
"When the Complainant and Ms. W2 arrived at the Complainant's dormitory room, Ms. W4 was present. Ms. W4 stated that Ms. W2 was supporting the Complainant because the Complainant had trouble walking on her own. Ms. W4 testified, and the adjudicator believes, that at that time the Complainant was not making sense, was slurring her words, could not unbutton her clothing, and could not drink water without it dribbling down her face." (AR 663.)	W2 testified: "She looked like how she had looked like her then. There was, like, nothing alarming about her appearance except for the fact that she was, like, a lot less steady on her feet than she had been earlier." Q: "Was she slurring her words?" A: "Um-hmm." Q: "Yes?" A: "Yes." (AR 563:2-11.)
"The Complainant testified that she learned that she had sexual intercourse with the Respondent when Mr. W3 advised her of what he had seen in the Respondent's dormitory during the early hours of September 8, 2013. Mr. W3 testified that when he told the Complainant that she had sexual intercourse with the Respondent, she stated that she did not know she had had sexual intercourse with the Respondent, and he believed that statement." (AR 664.)	W2 testified at the hearing that Jane Roe told W2 in the morning right when she woke up that she believed she'd had sex with John Doe: Q: "Okay. So when you returned when you went to Ms. Jane Roe's room the next morning, was she there alone?" A: "Yes." Q: "Okay. And how did the communication with her begin that morning?" A: "She outright said, like, 'I I think I had sex with John Doe last night." Q: "Okay. Was that the first thing that she said when you walked in?"

Evidence Cited by Marilou Mirkovich in Her December 9, 2013 Decision	Countervailing Testimony from the Investigation Report and December 7, 2013
	Hearing
	A: "Pretty much."
	Q: "Pretty much?"
	A: "Yeah."
	Q: "How did she look? Did she appear"
	A: "Like she had just woken up. Like, she still had her pajamas."
	Q: "Did she seem did you ask her did she
	seem that she had been crying or" A: "No."
	(AR 564:6-24.)
	W3 did not speak with Jane Roe until around
	9:00 p.m. after she told W2 she thought she'd
	had sex with Doe. (AR 588:18-22.) His
	exact recollection is as follows:
	Q: "Okay. The next day, did you have any
	communication with Ms. Jane Roe about what
	had happened the early that night?"
	A: "Yeah. I had messaged her on Facebook
	asking her if she remembered anything.
	Actually, I have the conversation still because
	it's in Facebook chat. But I asked her, you
	know, if she remembered anything and she
	said no, she's still trying to piece it all together. And then I said, like, 'Can we talk?'
	And she's, like, 'Sure. I'm in my room." So I
	came up and I talked to her and I told her
	what kind of like what we think might have
	happened the night before; so I think I
	think I just said, like, 'So you don't remember
	anything from last night?' And she said,
	'No.' And I kind of told her, 'Well, we think
	that like you and John Doe might have had
	sex.' And then she said, 'Yeah. I was worried
	that that might have been what happened.'
	And I think that was about all that we said."
	(AR 586:4-16; 589:19-24.)
	Q: "Okay. And was it your impression when
	you were speaking to Ms. Jane Roe that she
	she did not know that she had had sex with
	Mr. John Doe?"
	A: "Yeah. She did not know if they might

Evidence Cited by Marilou Mirkovich in Her December 9, 2013 Decision

Countervailing Testimony from the Investigation Report and December 7, 2013 Hearing have. Yeah." (AR 590:18-21.)

In that regard, the Complainant does not recall creating or sending the text messages contained in the investigators' report during that time period and other events during that period, including having sexual intercourse with the Respondent. Thus, during that period the Complainant's level of intoxication by alcohol was so significant that she experienced "blackouts."

Roe remembered sending at least one of the text messages and the specific sequence of events during which texts were sent during her interview with investigator Watkins: "Jane Roe stated that after W2 and W6 put her to bed, she lay there for about five minutes. She recalled sending a text to best friend in Tennessee, stating, 'I'mgoingtohavesexnow,' without any spaces between the words. Meanwhile, Jane Roe stated that John Doe was texting her asking where she was. Jane Roe said she looked out the peek hole in the door and saw W6's head. She said she could also see her neighbor, W19 and was one of the R.A.s. Jane Roe said she was very concerned about this. She said. "I am freaking out because I am really drunk and I don't want the RA to see me." She stated that John Doe was still texting her, and she texted back that her RA and W6 were there. Jane Roe stated that John Doe told her to say she had to go to the bathroom." (AR

149.)

Exhibit C

Questions John Doe Prepared for Jane Roe	Questions Marilou Mirkovich Asked Jane Roe
1. On September 7th at about midnight were you in John and W7's dorm room dancing with John lying down on his bed, grabbing John and trying to kiss him? (AR 408.)	Not asked at Hearing.
2. Were you excited and happy? (AR 408.)	Not asked at Hearing.
3. Were your friends trying to get you to leave John's room and go to bed? (AR 408.)	Not asked at Hearing.
4. Before you left John's did you agree to come back to his room and have sex with him? (AR 408.)	Not asked at Hearing.
5. Did you tell the investigators that John told you to come back down "so he can fuck you? (AR 408.)	"Now, in your interview with Ms. Watkins, I believe that you said that Mr. John Doe said to you while you were while you were in his room with Ms. W2 and Mr. W6, to come back down so he could fuck you. Now, do you actually remember him saying that?" (AR 475: 3-7.)
6. And you gave John your cell phone number so he could text you when to come back, isn't that correct? (AR 408.)	"Do you recall giving your cell phone number to Mr. John Doe"? (AR 479: 4-5.)
7. You went up to your room and waited and then John texted you to come back like you had planned, is that correct? (AR 408.)	Not asked at Hearing.
8. When you were going back to John's room, did you try to avoid being seen by W6 and your resident advisor? (AR 408.)	"Do you recall trying to sneak out around or avoid Mr. W6 and the RA?" (AR 479: 10-11.)
9. Were you excited when you were able to sneak out past them? (AR 408.)	Not asked at Hearing.
10. Just before you went back downstairs to John's room, did you text a friend back home, "I'mgoingtohavesexnow"? (AR 408.)	"Do you remember a text message that you sent to your friend the last name is W12? And did you when you wrote that, there was a text message wherein you wrote, I think, 'The world is" I think there were two: "The world is moving" and 'I'm going to have sex tonight." (AR 477: 14-15, 21-24.)
11. Did you text to John "Okay do you have a condom."? (AR 408.)	[Leading] "And so you don't have any recollection of the text messages that you sent to John Doe or the text Mr. John Doe and the text messages that you received from him? (AR 479: 21-24.)
12. And did John text back "Yes,"? (AR 408.)	Same as #11

Questions John Doe Prepared for Jane Roe	Questions Marilou Mirkovich Asked Jane Roe
13. And did you reply, "Good give me two minutes?" (AR 408.)	Same as #11
14. The next day, Sunday, did you tell people that you had a difficult time remembering what happened that night? (AR 408.)	Not asked at Hearing.
15. The next morning, W2 came over to your room and to help you piece together the events of the previous night, is that right? (AR 408.)	Not asked at Hearing.
16. Did you tell W4 that you and W2 had accounted for all of your activities the previous night, except for the hour when you went back to John's room to have sex? (AR 408.)	Not asked at Hearing.
17. You remember details of that night that happened before and after you went back to John's room, but aren't sure you remember what happened during that hour, is that right? (AR 408-409.)	Not asked at Hearing.
18. But in your statement, you told the investigators about a number of things that you do remember happening about that time, correct? (AR 409.)	Not asked at Hearing.
19. You remembered John telling you to come back down so you can have sex, right? (AR 409.)	Same as #4
20. You remember giving John your cell phone number so he could text you when to come back, yes? (AR 409.)	Same as #20
21. You remember texting your friend back home, "I'mgoingtohaves now", correct? (AR 409.)	Same as #10
22. You remember being excited to sneak out of your room to get back to John's room like you had planned, true? (AR 409.)	Not asked at Hearing.
23. You remember throwing up on the way to his room? (AR 409.)	"Do you recall throwing up, vomiting on the way back down to Mr. John Doe's room?" (AR 480: 2-3.)
24. You remembered that when you got downstairs to John's room that he gave you a piece of gum? Is that right? (AR 409.)	Not asked at Hearing.
25. You told the investigators that you remembered asking John if he had a condom	"Do you recall when you went into after you had vomited and you went into Mr. John

Questions John Doe Prepared for Jane Roe	Questions Marilou Mirkovich Asked Jane Roe
because you had not used any birth control, is	Doe's room, did you communicate to him that
that right? (AR 409.)	you had vomited?" (AR 480: 15-17.)
26. And you asked for a condom because you	Not asked at Hearing.
knew you were going to have intercourse, not	_
just oral sex, true? (AR 409.)	
27. You remembered that John left you alone	Not asked at Hearing.
in his room at one point, correct? (AR 409.)	_
28. You remembered that while John was out	"As we sit here today, do you recall anyone
of the room, someone knocked on the door,	asking how you were doing that night?
and asked if you were ok.? (AR 409.)	Saying, "Are you okay?" Anything like that?
	(AR 481: 4-6.)
29. You remembered responding three times	Not asked at Hearing.
that you were fine, correct? (AR 409.)	
30. You told the investigators that you	"Do you recall having oral sex with him?"
remembered performing oral sex on John	(AR 477:3.)
when you were in his room, correct? (AR	
409.)	
31. And you also remember thaat John said	Not asked at Hearing.
that his roommate W7 had just came in room,	
correct? (AR 409.)	
32. And W7 came in the room right when you	"Do you have any recollection of Mr. W7
were having sex, correct? (AR 409.)	coming in?" (AR 481: 19-20.)
33. W7 told the investigators that right when	Not asked at Hearing.
he came in the room he saw you and John	
having sex without any covers on, didn't he?	
(AR 409.)	
34. And W7 saw that you were conscious	Not asked at Hearing.
because he saw you moving, true? (AR 409.)	
35. And you were conscious and aware	Not asked at Hearing.
because you heard John say that W7 just came	
in, and you remember that, correct? (AR	
409.)	Not calculat Hassing
36. You remember John telling you about W7	Not asked at Hearing.
right when you were having sex, but are not	
able to remember that you were having sex at	
that very same time, is that right? (AR 410.) 37. So even if you don't remember now, or	Not asked at Hearing.
have blocked it out, at the time you and John	Thot asked at Hearing.
had sex in his room, you were conscious and	•
aware, isn't that right? (AR 410.)	
38. Isn't it true that you agreed to have sex,	Not asked at Hearing.
went back to his room to have sex, and you	Trot donor at Houring.
were aware that sexual activity was occurring	
when you were in John's room? (AR 410.)	
Then you were in John 3 foom; (AIC +10.)	<u> </u>

Questions John Doe Prepared for Jane Roe	Questions Marilou Mirkovich Asked Jane Roe
39. Is it true that Jane Roe successfully avoided her friends and RA and walked unaided down a flight of stairs while en route to John Doe's room? (AR 407.)	Not asked at Hearing.
40. How do you think Ms. Jane Roe remembers details that occurred during sex, yet doesn't remember sexual intercourse? (AR 412.)	Not asked at Hearing.
41. Ms. Jane claims that she remembers Mr. John saying that his roommate just came in, is that correct? (AR 411.)	Not asked at Hearing.
42. Could you read page 7 of Ms. Jane Roe's statement? Just the first paragraph of the top of the page.	Not asked at Hearing.

A TORY OR VER